

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,639	09/30/2003	Alisdair J. Brown	2002M012	4694
7:	590 09/18/2006		EXAMINER	
Infineum USA	A L.P.	THEXTON, MATTHEW		
Law Departmen	nt			
1900 East Linden Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 710			1714	
Linden, NJ 0	7036-0710		DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/674,639	BROWN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew A. Thexton	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ju</u>	ılv 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16,17 and 20</u> is/are w						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Sta	ge			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					
	<u>, </u>					

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group 1 and the species (a) zinc salt of reaction product of phosphorus sulfide and a mixture of 4-methyl-2-pentanol and dipropylene glycol and (b) molybdenum di-coco-dithiocarbamate in the reply filed on 2006 March 3 is acknowledged.

Claims 16, 17, and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 2006 March 3.

The elected combination of species is allowable.

Other species have been examined and searched. Rejections appear hereinbelow.

Claims Version

The listing of claims filed 2006 July 17 has been examined.

Claims Analysis

Claims 16, 17, and 20 are withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1714

Claim 1 is directed to lubricant mixtures comprising:

(A) an oil-soluble or —dispersible salt of a dihydrocarbylthiophosphoric acid derived from the reaction of:

- (I) at least one compound (P) having at least two groups independently selected from hydroxyl and sulfhydryl, wherein there is (sic) at least four atoms separating the two groups, or
- (II) at least one compound (P) as defined in (I) and at least one compound (M) which is different from)P) and has at least on group selected from hydroxyl and sulfhydryl, with phosphorus sulfide;
- (B) an oil-soluble or -dispersible molybdenum compound; and
- (C) a major amount of an oil of lubricating viscosity.

Claims 2-12 and 14 depend directly or indirectly from claim 1 and specify or further limit: the scope of (II); the proportion of (II) relative to (I); the scope of (I); the scope of the salt moiety; the scope of (B); the amount of phosphorus in the mixture; methods of making comprising "blending" (A) and (B).

Independent claim 13 is directed to an additive concentrate comprising a diluent fluid and (A) and (B), as set forth in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,639

Art Unit: 1714

Independent claim 15 is directed to methods of lubricating a surface comprising "supplying" to the surface the lubricant composition as defined in claim 1.

Claim 18 depends from claim 17, which depends from independent claim 16 which is directed to salts or salt mixtures as in (A) of claim 1 but where (P) further has at least one atom in the separating group selected from oxygen, sulfur, and nitrogen; and wherein claim 18 further comprises a diluent fluid and one or more co-additives. Diluent fluid is interpreted to encompass oil of a lubricating viscosity.

Claim 19 depends from claim 17 and further comprises a major amount of an oil of lubricating viscosity.

Claim Rejections

Claims 1-15, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colclough (EP 322235A2) in view of McConnachie et al. (WO 99/31113A1).

The present claims are broadly discussed hereinabove in the section *Claims***Analysis* which is incorporated by reference.

The reference '235 discloses metal dithiophosphates which dithiophosphates are prepared by reacting phosphorus pentasulfide with a mixture of alcohols in which one is aliphatic mono-ol and the other may be an ether diol (page 2, lines 32-55) or a polyalkylene oxide derivative of an alcohol (page 4, line 61 to page 5, line 5). It is suggested to employ more of the mono alcohol derivative than the other and to employ

Application/Control Number: 10/674,639

Art Unit: 1714

a diluent as needed (page 3, lines 57-8, and page 8 line 63 to page 9, line 1) or to combine with additives to make concentrates or packages (page 10, line 61 to page 11, line 11), per present claims 3 and 13. The additive mixture is useful in lubricants as an anti-oxidant (page 3, lines 54-5). The ether meets the limitation of claim 7. Combinations with other lubricant additives such as friction modifiers, anti-wear agents is suggested (page 9, lines 35-40). The intended use discussed throughout and the tests disclosed clearly show the intent to provide methods of lubricating, per present claim 15.

'113 discloses oil-soluble or –dispersible trinuclear molybdenum-sulfur compounds, example 1 corresponding to Applicant's specie for oils 7 and 8 in the present specification (page 24), and which provide anti-friction, anti-wear, anti-oxidant, reduction of fuel consumption benefits to lubricants (page 2, last paragraph, page 3, first paragraph). Combinations with lubricants and other lubricant additives such as anti-oxidants is suggested (page 6, lines 22-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine these additives in '235 and '113 in view of the mutual suggestions to do, thus arriving at embodiments encompassed by Applicant's claims.

Claims 1-15, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colclough (EP 322235A2) in view of Leta et al. (US 6358894B1).

The present claims are broadly discussed hereinabove in the section *Claims***Analysis* which is incorporated by reference.

Art Unit: 1714

The reference '235 discloses metal dithiophosphates which dithiophosphates are prepared by reacting phosphorus pentasulfide with a mixture of alcohols in which one is aliphatic mono-ol and the other may be an ether diol (page 2, lines 32-55) or a polyalkylene oxide derivative of an alcohol (page 4, line 61 to page 5, line 5). It is suggested to employ more of the mono alcohol derivative than the other and to employ a diluent as needed (page 3, lines 57-8, and page 8 line 63 to page 9, line 1) or to combine with additives to make concentrates or packages (page 10, line 61 to page 11, line 11), per present claims 3 and 13. The additive mixture is useful in lubricants as an anti-oxidant (page 3, lines 54-5). The ether meets the limitation of claim 7. Combinations with other lubricant additives such as friction modifiers, anti-wear agents is suggested (page 9, lines 35-40). The intended use discussed throughout and the tests disclosed clearly show the intent to provide methods of lubricating, per present claim 15.

'894 discloses oil-soluble or —dispersible trinuclear molybdenum-sulfur compounds, example 1 corresponding to Applicant's specie for oils 7 and 8 in the present specification (page 24), and which provide anti-friction, anti-wear, anti-oxidant, reduction of fuel consumption benefits to lubricants (examples). Combinations with lubricants and other lubricant additives such as anti-oxidants is suggested (column 5, lines 3-4 examples).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine these additives in '235 and '894 in view of the mutual suggestions to do, thus arriving at embodiments encompassed by Applicant's claims.

Claims 1-15, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colclough (EP 322235A2) in view of Waddoups et al. (US 6074993A).

The present claims are broadly discussed hereinabove in the section *Claims***Analysis* which is incorporated by reference.

The reference '235 discloses metal dithiophosphates which dithiophosphates are prepared by reacting phosphorus pentasulfide with a mixture of alcohols in which one is aliphatic mono-ol and the other may be an ether diol (page 2, lines 32-55) or a polyalkylene oxide derivative of an alcohol (page 4, line 61 to page 5, line 5). It is suggested to employ more of the mono alcohol derivative than the other and to employ a diluent as needed (page 3, lines 57-8, and page 8 line 63 to page 9, line 1) or to combine with additives to make concentrates or packages (page 10, line 61 to page 11, line 11), per present claims 3 and 13. The additive mixture is useful in lubricants as an anti-oxidant (page 3, lines 54-5). The ether meets the limitation of claim 7. Combinations with other lubricant additives such as friction modifiers, anti-wear agents is suggested (page 9, lines 35-40). The intended use discussed throughout and the tests disclosed clearly show the intent to provide methods of lubricating, per present claim 15.

'993 discloses lubricating mixtures comprising oil-soluble or –dispersible trinuclear molybdenum-sulfur compounds and zinc dihydrocarbyldithiophosphate compounds.

Art Unit: 1714

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute the blend of zinc dihydrocarbyldithiophosphate compounds disclosed in '235 into the mixtures of '993 in order to obtain the benefits attributed to the '235 blend, thus arriving at embodiments encompassed by Applicant's claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2006 July 17 have been fully considered but they are not fully persuasive.

Applicant asserts (page 6, fourth paragraph) that claims 3 and 4 are of different scope and therefore potential double patenting does not exist. The Examiner concurs.

Applicant notes (page 6, last paragraph) that claim 15 was not rejected over prior art. This was inadvertent; the rejections have been rewritten to include claim 15.

Applicant argues (paragraphs bridging pages 7-8) the prima facie case is rebutted by the comparative data of the specification (discussed at page 7, first paragraph). This is responded to as follows. The claims are not commensurate in scope with the evidence presented in the specification. Hence, Applicant is arguing limitations not set forth in the claims. The elected species (ZDDP 2 with molybdenum di-coco-dithiocarbamate) has been indicated as allowable.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1714

In view of the error in not including claim 15 in the rejections set forth in the previous Office action, this action is NON-FINAL. In addition, another rejection has been set forth because it provides a prima facie case based on different rationale.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew A. Thexton whose telephone number is 571-272-1125. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 9:30 to 7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasudevan S. Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. A. Thexton

Matthew A. Thexton
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714
matthew.thexton@uspto.gov